

EXHIBIT “A”

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN**

TEAM SCHIERL COMPANIES and
HEARTLAND FARMS, INC., on behalf of
themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ASPIRUS, INC. and ASPIRUS NETWORK,
INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:22-cv-00580-jdp

Hon. James D. Peterson, U.S.D.J.

Hon. Stephen L. Crocker, U.S.M.J.

**ASPIRUS INC.'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES**

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin ("Local Rules"), Defendant Aspirus, Inc. ("Aspirus") hereby provides this second set of supplemental responses and objections to Plaintiffs Team Schierl Companies and Heartland Farms, Inc.'s (together, "Plaintiffs") First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant Aspirus (the "Interrogatories") issued in the above-captioned litigation (the "Action"). Aspirus reserves its right under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e) to further supplement or amend these responses and objections should it discover additional information responsive to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Aspirus asserts the following General Objections, which are hereby incorporated into each of the Specific Objections to the Interrogatories set forth below:

1. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories as unduly burdensome to the extent that they purport to require Aspirus to create a document or provide a compilation, abstract, audit,

and/or other document summary that does not currently exist or can be assembled by Plaintiffs with substantially the same effort as it would require of Aspirus.

2. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they seek information that (a) is protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, or (b) constitute trade secrets or confidential commercial information or contain information made confidential by law, including Protected Health Information (Protective Order ¶ 1.o., Dkt. No.41-1), or any information protected from disclosure by 21 C.F.R. § 20.63. Aspirus does not waive any applicable protections, privileges, rules, doctrines, or immunities, whether created by statute or common law, by providing objections and responses to these Interrogatories. Inadvertent or mistaken disclosure of any privileged information shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege or any other ground for objecting to discovery with respect to such information, nor shall inadvertent or mistaken disclosure waive the right of Aspirus to object to the use of any such information in any proceeding.

3. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they exceed or differ from the requirements of, or attempt to place burdens upon Aspirus greater than, those imposed or allowed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules, or any ruling by the Court now or in the future that would relieve Aspirus from any obligation to respond, in whole or in part, to any of these Interrogatories.

4. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories on the grounds that they seek information that is not relevant to any claim or defense of any Party in the Action and/or is not proportional to the needs of the Action resulting in a burden and expense to Aspirus of complying with these Interrogatories that outweigh the likely benefit.

5. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(2)(C)(i) to the extent that they contain “unreasonably cumulative or duplicative” Interrogatories, in whole or in part.

6. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they are not set forth with sufficient particularity, are insufficiently defined, and/or are vague, ambiguous, and unlimited in scope. Aspirus will respond to the Interrogatories based upon its understanding of the Interrogatories and in a manner consistent with Aspirus’s obligations under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules.

7. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they seek information that is publicly available or obtainable from sources other than Aspirus that are more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

8. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they require Aspirus to obtain and produce information from or concerning any entity other than Aspirus on the grounds that such Interrogatories are unduly burdensome and seek information not within Aspirus’s possession, custody, or control. Aspirus will only produce information that is in its possession, custody, or control.

9. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they seek to impose on Aspirus an obligation to identify information or documents that once existed and have subsequently been lost, destroyed, or are otherwise missing and to state the details concerning the loss, when such information or documents were deleted in accordance with Aspirus’s company practices, policies, and/or applicable law.

10. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they call for disclosure of confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information belonging to a third party but entrusted

to Aspirus on the conditions of confidentiality and non-disclosure, or joint confidential information of Aspirus and a third party.

11. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they seek Aspirus's confidential and proprietary information, including trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information or documents, the disclosure of which will or may cause competitive harm to Aspirus. To the extent Aspirus produces any information in response to these Interrogatories, it will do so subject to the terms of the Protective Order entered in this Action on June 9, 2023.

12. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action to the extent that they call for the identification of "all" information or documents or "any" piece of information or document when any relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than "all" or "any" piece of information or document. Read literally, such Interrogatories improperly call for the production of identical and duplicative information, which is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case.

13. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they assume disputed facts or contain legal conclusions in defining the information sought. Aspirus hereby denies any such disputed facts or legal conclusions. Any information provided by Aspirus in response to any Interrogatory is without prejudice to this objection and Aspirus does not, by responding to any Interrogatory, adopt or agree with any such disputed facts or legal conclusions.

14. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they demand the production of responses within 30 days from the date of service of the Interrogatories. Subject to

Aspirus's General and Specific Objections, responses to these Interrogatories will be produced on mutually agreed date(s).

15. In light of continuing discovery and investigation, Aspirus reserves the right to amend and/or supplement its responses, and expressly reserves the right to later use and present at trial any evidence, facts, and/or testimony developed or analyzed at a later date that is responsive to the Interrogatories but not presently known to Aspirus. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Aspirus assumes no obligation to amend and/or supplement its responses beyond that which is required by law.

16. Aspirus objects to these Interrogatories without waiving or intending to waive, but on the contrary, preserving and intending to preserve: (a) the right to object, on the grounds of authenticity, improper foundation, competency, privilege, relevance, materiality, unfair prejudice, or any other proper ground, to the use of the information or documents produced in response to these Interrogatories for any purpose, in whole or in part, in any subsequent proceedings, in this Action, or in any other action; (b) the right to object on any ground, at any time, to one or more of these Interrogatories, or other third-party discovery involving or relating to the subject of the Interrogatories; and (c) the right at any time to revise, correct, add to, or clarify any of the responses and/or objections made herein.

II. OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS

1. Aspirus objects to the Definitions to these Interrogatories to the extent that they impose obligations exceeding those required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or any applicable Local Rules.

2. Aspirus objects to the definition of "Affiliated Provider" in Paragraph 1 of the "Definitions" to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms "provider," "employed," and "affiliated" are vague

and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition. Aspirus further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any” and all “provider[s]” “affiliated with ANI” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources. Aspirus also objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from Aspirus.

3. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Agent” in Paragraph 2 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, on the grounds that the phrase “authorized to act” is vague and ambiguous because it is undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition. Aspirus further objects to this Definition on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, to the extent that it improperly purports to seek information from distinct corporate entities and persons not parties to the case and not controlled by Aspirus.

4. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Agreement” in Paragraph 3 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, on the grounds that the terms “arrangement,” “understanding,” “formal,” “informal,” “modifications,” and “amendments” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition. Aspirus further objects to the

Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any” and all “oral or written contract[s], arrangement[s] or understanding[s]” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

5. Aspirus objects to the definition of “ANI” in Paragraph 5 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “divisions,” “departments,” “wholly owned or controlled subsidiaries or affiliates,” “other subsidiaries,” “parents,” “joint ventures,” “branches,” “predecessors in interest,” “successors in interest,” “current and former Employees, agents, attorneys, or representatives,” and “all other Persons acting on behalf of, or at the direction of, any such entity” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition. Aspirus further objects to this Definition on the grounds that the incorporation of any or all of these terms or phrases into this Definition renders each Interrogatory using “ANI” overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, as such terms and/or phrases call for information not relevant to the claim or defense of any party, are not relevant to the subject matter involved in this Action, and improperly purport to seek information from distinct corporate entities and persons not parties to the case and not controlled by Aspirus.

6. Aspirus objects to the definition of “ANI Provider” in Paragraph 6 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms or phrases “provider,” “advanced practitioners,” and

“employed” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition. Aspirus further objects to the Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any provider of Health Care Services . . . who is either employed by ANI or Aspirus or who is an Affiliated Provider of ANI” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

7. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Anti-Tiering Provision” in Paragraph 7 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “provision,” “discourages,” “deters,” “tiers,” “tiered,” “consumers,” “coverage,” “cost-sharing requirements,” “disincentivizes,” and “subscribers” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition to the extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions in defining the information sought.

8. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Aspirus, Inc.” in Paragraph 8 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “owned,” “affiliated,” “allied,” “divisions,” “departments,” “wholly owned or controlled subsidiaries or affiliates,” “other subsidiaries,” “parents,” “joint ventures,” “branches,” “predecessors in interest,” “successors in interest,” “current and former Employees, agents, attorneys, or representatives,” and “all other Persons acting on behalf of, or at the direction of, any such entity” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and

in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition on the grounds that the incorporation of any or all of these terms and/or phrases into this Definition renders each Interrogatory using “Aspirus” overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, as such terms and/or phrases call for information not relevant to the claim or defense of any party, are not relevant to the subject matter involved in this Action, and improperly purport to seek information from distinct corporate entities and persons not parties to the case and not controlled by Aspirus. . Further, unless otherwise agreed to, Aspirus will not produce documents in the possession, custody, or control of Aspirus’s attorneys or Aspirus’s accountants, consultants, or other agents. Aspirus will construe “Aspirus” in these Interrogatories to refer to Aspirus, Inc.

9. Aspirus objects to the definitions of “Capitated Rates” or “Capitation Payments” in Paragraph 9 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which these phrases appear, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “fixed amounts,” “unit of time,” “provide,” “arrange,” and “specific set” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from Aspirus.

10. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Certificate of Need” in Paragraph 10 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the term and phrase “establishment” and “major medical

equipment acquisitions of facilities” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition on the grounds that it purports to define information that is not relevant to any claim or defense of any Party in the Action and/or is not proportional to the needs of the Action resulting in a burden and expense to Aspirus of complying with these Interrogatories that outweigh the likely benefit—not least of all because Wisconsin does not have a certificate of need law as that phrase is commonly used.

11. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Chargemaster Rates” in Paragraph 11 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “collection,” “standard list prices,” and “medical services” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from Aspirus.

12. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Communication” in Paragraph 12 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “communications of any kind” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

13. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Competitor” in Paragraph 13 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this

term appears, on the grounds that the term and phrase “provider” and “operating in Wisconsin” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from Aspirus.

14. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Contracted Rates” in Paragraph 15 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “total amount,” “cost sharing,” “contractually agreed,” “participating provider,” “facility,” and “covered items and services” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from Aspirus.

15. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Data” in Paragraph 17 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “machine-readable computer files,” “supporting materials,” “[d]ata dictionaries,” “reference files,” “database maps,” “crosswalks,” “lookup tables,” and “information retrieval systems” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to the definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the

importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to encompass “any documentation” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

16. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Document” in Paragraph 18 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to encompass “all writings” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “all” such sources. Aspirus will construe the term “Document” to have the same meaning and scope to the usage of this term in Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and controlling case law.

17. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Employee” in Paragraph 20 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “officer,” “director,” “executive,” “manager,” “staff member,” “performing work,” “independent contractor,” and “other basis” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition on the grounds that the incorporation of any or all of these terms and/or phrases into this Definition renders each Interrogatory using “Employee” overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, as such terms and/or phrases call for information not relevant to the claim or defense of any party, are not relevant to the subject

matter involved in this Action, and improperly purport to seek information from distinct corporate entities and persons not parties to the case and not controlled by Aspirus.

18. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Gag Clause” in Paragraph 21 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “contract term,” “Pricing term,” “requirement,” “negotiation tactic or condition,” “offer,” “employers,” and “prices” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition to the extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions in defining the information sought.

19. Aspirus objects to the definitions of “Health Care Services” and “Health Care” in Paragraph 22 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which these phrases appear, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “medical,” “clinical,” “laboratory,” “therapeutic services,” and “advanced practitioners” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories.

20. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Health Plan” in Paragraph 23 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “commercial,” “self-insured,” “health insurance products,” “fully insured,” and “self-funded health insurance markets” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus also objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from

some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from Aspirus.

21. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Independent Health Care Provider” in Paragraph 25 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the phrases “Health Care provider or group of providers,” “employed by,” “owned by,” and “affiliated in any way with” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any Health Care provider or group of providers” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than all such sources. Aspirus also objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks to include information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from Aspirus.

22. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Inpatient Services” in Paragraph 26 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “general acute care services,” “diagnostic and treatment services,” and “overnight stay” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories.

23. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Medical Facility” in Paragraph 27 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the phrases “any location or building” and “overnight stay”

are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any location or building” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

24. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Narrow Network” in Paragraph 29 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “members,” “receive(s),” “laboratories,” and “insurance discounts” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition to the extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions in defining the information or documents sought.

25. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Non-Participating Rate” in Paragraph 33 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms “rate,” “in-network,” “participate,” and “product,” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “the rate charged by any Health Care Provider” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

26. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Outpatient Services” in Paragraph 34 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the phrases “medical services” and “overnight stay” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories.

27. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Patient Invoices” in Paragraph 35 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “billing” and “payments” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories.

28. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Payer” in Paragraph 36 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the phrases “commercial insurance providers” and “self-insured entities” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any entity” and “any patient” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources. Aspirus also objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from Aspirus.

29. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Pricing” in Paragraph 38 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, on the grounds that the phrases “health claims,” “billing codes,” “claim codes,” “billing information,” and “claims information” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any contract or payment-related information” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

30. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Provider Network” in Paragraph 39 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms “providers” and “members” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus also objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from Aspirus.

31. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Reference-Based Pricing” in Paragraph 40 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the phrase “reference point” is vague and ambiguous because it is undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories.

32. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Referral Condition” in Paragraph 41 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to encompass “any portion of any Agreement” related to referrals when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than all such sources. Aspirus also objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks to include information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from Aspirus.

33. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Steering Restriction” in Paragraph 44 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “incentivize,” “encourage,” “utilize” “restricts the ability,” “manner,” “availability,” “cost,” and “benefit” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any clause or provision,” “any way,” and “any insured,” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources. Aspirus further objects to this Definition to the extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions in defining the information sought.

34. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Tiered Network” in Paragraph 46 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “providers,” “levels,” and “high value” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition to the extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions in defining the information sought.

35. Aspirus objects to the definition of “Tying Condition” in Paragraph 47 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “requirement,” “negotiation tactic,” “negotiation condition,” “offer,” “effect of requiring,” “provider,” “creates an incentive,” and “wants to include” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any Agreement, contract term, Pricing term, requirement, negotiation tactic, negotiation condition, and/or offer” and “any Payer” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources. Aspirus further objects to this Definition to the extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions in defining the information sought.

36. Aspirus objects to the definitions of “You” or “Your” in Paragraph 48 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which these terms appear, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “predecessors, successors, subsidiaries,”

“departments,” “divisions,” “affiliates,” “third-party recruiting, hiring, or headhunting firms,” “officers,” and “representatives” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. Aspirus further objects to this Definition on the grounds that the incorporation of any or all of these terms and/or phrases into this Definition renders each Interrogatory using “Aspirus” overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, as such terms and/or phrases call for information not relevant to the claim or defense of any party, are not relevant to the subject matter involved in this Action, and improperly purport to seek information from distinct corporate entities and persons not parties to the case and not controlled by Aspirus. Further, unless otherwise agreed to, Aspirus will not produce documents in the possession, custody, or control of Aspirus’s attorneys or Aspirus’s accountants, consultants, or other agents. Aspirus will construe the terms “You” or “Your” in these Interrogatories to refer to Aspirus Network, Inc.

III. OBJECTIONS TO INSTRUCTIONS

1. Aspirus objects each of the “Instructions” to these Interrogatories to the extent that they seek to impose obligations on Aspirus different or greater than those imposed or authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Rules, Court order, applicable case law, and/or that are contrary to and inconsistent with the Protective Order and/or ESI Agreement and Protocol entered in this Action.

2. Aspirus objects to Paragraph 4 of the “Instructions” to these Interrogatories on the grounds that the terms “custody,” “control,” and “possession” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Instruction. Aspirus further objects to this Instruction on the grounds that the incorporation of any or all of these terms

and/or phrases into this Instruction renders it overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, as such terms call for information not relevant to the claim or defense of any party, are not relevant to the subject matter involved in this Action, and improperly purport to seek information from distinct corporate entities and persons not parties to the case and not controlled by Aspirus. Further, unless otherwise agreed to, Aspirus will not produce documents in the possession, custody, or control of Aspirus's attorneys or Aspirus's accountants, consultants, or other agents.

IV. OBJECTION TO RELEVANT TIME PERIOD

1. Aspirus objects to the Relevant Time Period, which purports to include an approximate nine-year period, as overbroad, unduly burdensome, not relevant to the claims or defenses of any Party, and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the litigation. Absent any agreement among the Parties stating some different time period, Aspirus will not produce information or documents that pre-date the four-year statute of limitations governing Plaintiffs' Sherman Act claims. 15 U.S.C. § 15(b).

V. SPECIFIC RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

For any Agreement, between or among You and any Payer, that contains any Tying Condition, Steering Restriction, or Gag Clause, please provide (a) the dates when any such Agreement was in effect, including the date when any such Agreement first went into effect and the date when any such Agreement ended or was terminated; (b) your Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in the drafting, negotiation, promulgation, or enforcement of any such Agreement; and (c) the date(s) of any Communication(s) between or among You, ANI, and any provider of Health Care Services regarding the interpretation, drafting, negotiation, promulgation, or enforcement of any such Tying Condition, Steering Restriction, or Gag Clause.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Aspirus incorporates by reference herein the foregoing General Objections, Objections to Definitions, Objections to Instructions, and Objections to the Relevant Time Period. Aspirus specifically objects to this Interrogatory Number 1 on the grounds that the terms and phrases “first went into effect,” “ended,” “was terminated,” “drafting,” “negotiation,” “promulgation,” “enforcement,” and “interpretation” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Interrogatory. Aspirus further objects to this Request to the extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions—including as to the presumed existence of any purported “Tying Condition, Steering Restriction, or Gag Clause.” Aspirus also objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action. Aspirus further objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source, such as Aspirus’s ongoing document production, that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such information in narrative form from Aspirus. Aspirus also objects to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent it is requesting that Aspirus state any contention regarding any claims or defenses asserted in this Action. Aspirus further objects to the extent this Interrogatory contains subparts that are more appropriately considered separate interrogatories under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

Without waiving and subject to the foregoing general and specific objections, Aspirus responds that it intends to produce any responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody, or control in response to Plaintiffs’ First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Aspirus (the “Requests”) that will satisfy this Interrogatory, to the extent such materials exist. Fed.

R. Civ. P. 33(d). Aspirus refers Plaintiffs to Ex. “A” to these responses and objections identifying documents that Aspirus has already produced relating to contractual relationships between Aspirus and payors from which Plaintiffs can derive information that is likely responsive to this Interrogatory. Aspirus further responds that ANI is primarily responsible for negotiating agreements with payors related to the health care services that Aspirus’s providers offer in Wisconsin.

For the avoidance of doubt, Aspirus will continue to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d)(1) by supplementing this response at the appropriate time to “specify[] [any additional] records that must be reviewed” to satisfy this Interrogatory should Aspirus produce additional documents containing potentially responsive information.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Please provide the contact information for all Employees or Agents responsible for negotiating and/or entering into Agreements with Payers to provide Health Care Services.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Aspirus incorporates by reference herein the foregoing General Objections, Objections to Definitions, Objections to Instructions, and Objections to the Relevant Time Period. Aspirus specifically objects to this Interrogatory Number 2 on the grounds that the terms “responsible,” “negotiating,” and “entering” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Interrogatory. Aspirus further objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to seek information relating to “all Employees or Agents” with responsibilities related to payor contracting when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than all such sources. Aspirus also objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome,

cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source, such as Aspirus's ongoing document production, that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such information in narrative form from Aspirus. Aspirus further objects to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent it is requesting that Aspirus state any contention regarding any claims or defenses asserted in this Action.

Without waiving and subject to the foregoing general and specific objections, Aspirus responds that it intends to produce any responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody, or control in response to Plaintiffs' Requests that will satisfy this Interrogatory. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). Aspirus refers Plaintiffs to Ex. "A" to these responses and objections identifying documents that Aspirus has already produced from which Plaintiffs can derive the identity of individuals responsible for payor contracting and those individuals' contact information. By way of further response and as discussed during various meet and confers concerning custodial negotiations, the following Aspirus employees had responsibilities relating to payor contracting from the period October 11, 2018 to October 12, 2022: Lori Peck and Melissa Thums. As noted in response to Interrogatory No. 1, ANI is primarily responsible for negotiating agreements with payors related to the health care services that Aspirus's providers offer in Wisconsin.

For the avoidance of doubt, Aspirus will continue to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d)(1) by supplementing this response at the appropriate time to "specify[] [any additional] records that must be reviewed" to satisfy this Interrogatory should Aspirus produce additional documents containing potentially responsive information.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Please describe each instance in which You have Communicated about prices for Health Care Services (including about Reference-Based Pricing) with any Agent, Employee, or other

representative of any Independent Health Care Provider, including (a) the name and contact information of such Independent Health Care Provider; (b) the date(s) of the Communication(s); (c) the name(s) of the Aspirus and/or ANI Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); (d) the name(s) of the Independent Health Care Provider Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); and (e) the beginning bates number of any Document reflecting or relating to any such Communication(s).

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Aspirus incorporates by reference herein the foregoing General Objections, Objections to Definitions, Objections to Instructions, and Objections to the Relevant Time Period. Aspirus specifically objects to this Interrogatory Number 3 on the grounds that the terms and phrases “each instance,” “prices,” “other representative,” and “involved” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Interrogatory. Aspirus further objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to seek information relating to “each instance” in which an Aspirus provider has “Communicated about prices for Health Care Services” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than each and every such “instance.” Aspirus also objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source, such as Aspirus’s ongoing document production, that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such information in narrative form from Aspirus. Aspirus further objects to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent it is requesting that Aspirus state any contention regarding any claims or defenses asserted in this Action. Aspirus further objects to the extent this Interrogatory contains subparts that are more appropriately considered separate interrogatories under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

Without waiving and subject to the foregoing general and specific objections, Aspirus responds that it intends to produce any responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody, or control in response to Plaintiffs' Requests that will satisfy this Interrogatory, to the extent such materials exist. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). Aspirus has not yet identified any documents or information responsive to this Interrogatory.¹ However, Aspirus not yet completed its review and production of documents, and Aspirus intends to supplement this response at the appropriate time to "specify[] the records [produced by Aspirus] that must be reviewed" to satisfy this Interrogatory should Aspirus produce any documents containing potentially responsive information. *Id.*

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Please describe each instance in which You have discussed referral of patients with an Affiliated Provider or Independent Health Care Provider, Communicated about referral of patients with an Affiliated Provider or Independent Health Care Provider, or threatened the withholding of referrals to any Affiliated Provider or Independent Health Care Provider, including (a) the name and contact information of such Provider; (b) the date(s) of the Communication(s); (c) the name(s) of the Aspirus and/or ANI Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); (d) the name(s) of the Affiliated or Independent Health Care Provider Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); and (e) the beginning bates number of any Document reflecting or relating to any such Communication(s).

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Aspirus incorporates by reference herein the foregoing General Objections, Objections to Definitions, Objections to Instructions, and Objections to the Relevant Time Period. Aspirus specifically objects to this Interrogatory Number 4 on the grounds that the terms and phrases "each instance," "referral of patients," "threatened," and "involved" are vague and ambiguous because

¹ Pursuant to the purported definition of "Independent Health Care Provider" in the Definitions section of the Interrogatories, Aspirus construes this Interrogatory to seek information about communications with "any Health Care provider or group of providers that is not employed by, owned by, or affiliated in any way with ANI or Aspirus." Aspirus has on the other hand identified and produced documents reflecting information about prices for healthcare services charged by its in-network providers.

they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Interrogatory. Aspirus further objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to seek information relating to “each instance” in which an Aspirus provider has “discussed referral of patients” with virtually any provider when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than each and every such “instance.” Aspirus also objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source, such as Aspirus’s ongoing document production, that is or will be equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such information in narrative form from Aspirus. Aspirus further objects to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent it is requesting that Aspirus state any contention regarding any claims or defenses asserted in this Action. Aspirus also objects to the extent this Interrogatory contains subparts that are more appropriately considered separate interrogatories under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

Without waiving and subject to the foregoing general and specific objections, Aspirus responds that it intends to produce any responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody, or control in response to Plaintiffs’ Requests that will satisfy this Interrogatory, to the extent such materials exist. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). Aspirus refers Plaintiffs to Ex. “A” to these responses and objections identifying documents that Aspirus has already produced regarding referrals from which Plaintiffs can derive information that is likely responsive to this Interrogatory.

For the avoidance of doubt, Aspirus will continue to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d)(1) by supplementing this response at the appropriate time to “specify[] [any

additional] records that must be reviewed” to satisfy this Interrogatory should Aspirus produce additional documents containing potentially responsive information.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Please describe each instance where You alleged any breach of confidentiality of a Gag Clause, or of any substantially similar obligation, in any Agreement with a Payer, including (a) the date(s) of the Communication(s); (b) the name(s) of the Aspirus and/or ANI Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); (c) the name(s) of the Payer(s) involved in such Communication(s); (d) the name(s) of Your Competitors’ Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); (e) the outcome of such Communication(s), including any threatened or actual legal action against the Payer(s) in question; and (f) the beginning bates number of any Document reflecting or relating to any such Communication(s).

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Aspirus incorporates by reference herein the foregoing General Objections, Objections to Definitions, Objections to Instructions, and Objections to the Relevant Time Period. Aspirus specifically objects to this Interrogatory Number 5 on the grounds that the terms and phrases “each instance,” “any substantially similar obligation,” “involved,” “outcome,” “threatened or actual legal action” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Interrogatory. Aspirus further objects to this Request to the extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions—including as to the presumed existence of any purported “Gag Clause” “or . . . any substantially similar obligation.” Aspirus also objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information relating to “any threatened or actual legal action” protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Aspirus further objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action. Aspirus also objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source, such as Aspirus’s ongoing

document production, that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such information in narrative form from Aspirus. Aspirus further objects to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent it is requesting that Aspirus state any contention regarding any claims or defenses asserted in this Action. Aspirus also objects to the extent this Interrogatory contains subparts that are more appropriately considered separate interrogatories under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

Without waiving and subject to the foregoing general and specific objections, Aspirus responds that it intends to produce any responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody, or control in response to Plaintiffs' Requests that will satisfy this Interrogatory, to the extent such materials exist. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). Aspirus has not yet identified any documents or information responsive to this Interrogatory. However, Aspirus not yet completed its review and production of documents, and Aspirus intends to supplement this response at the appropriate time to "specify[] the records [produced by Aspirus] that must be reviewed" to satisfy this Interrogatory should Aspirus produce any documents containing potentially responsive information. *Id.*

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Please describe each instance where You have Communicated about acquiring and/or selling a medical facility in Wisconsin, or potentially acquiring and/or selling a medical facility in Wisconsin, including (a) the date(s) of the Communication(s); (b) the name(s) of the Aspirus and/or ANI Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); (c) the name(s) of the other Person(s) involved in such Communication(s); (d) the name(s) of the Person's Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); (e) the outcome of such Communication(s), including whether any facility was bought or sold, the dates of such transaction(s), and the terms of purchase or sale; and (f) the beginning bates number of any Document reflecting or relating to any such Communication(s).

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Aspirus incorporates by reference herein the foregoing General Objections, Objections to Definitions, Objections to Instructions, and Objections to the Relevant Time Period. Aspirus specifically objects to this Interrogatory Number 6 on the grounds that the terms and phrases “each instance,” “acquiring,” “potentially acquiring,” “selling,” “involved,” “outcome,” “facility,” “terms” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Interrogatory. Aspirus also objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information relating to a corporate transaction protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Aspirus further objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to seek information relating to “each instance” in which Aspirus “has Communicated about” a potential acquisition when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than each and every such “instance.” Aspirus also objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source, such as Aspirus’s ongoing document production, that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such information in narrative form from Aspirus. Aspirus further objects to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent it is requesting that Aspirus state any contention regarding any claims or defenses asserted in this Action. Aspirus also objects to the extent this Interrogatory contains subparts that are more appropriately considered separate interrogatories under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

Without waiving and subject to the foregoing general and specific objections, Aspirus responds that it intends to produce any responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession,

custody, or control in response to Plaintiffs' Requests that will satisfy this Interrogatory, to the extent such materials exist. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). Aspirus refers Plaintiffs to Ex. "A" to these responses and objections identifying documents that Aspirus has already produced that discuss proposed or completed acquisitions or sales of medical facilities in Wisconsin from which Plaintiffs can derive information that is likely responsive to this Interrogatory. These documents primarily relate to Aspirus's acquisition of seven hospitals, twenty-one physician clinics, and air and ground medical transport services from Ascension. The transaction was completed in or around August 2021.

For the avoidance of doubt, Aspirus will continue to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d)(1) by supplementing this response at the appropriate time to "specify[] [any additional] records that must be reviewed" to satisfy this Interrogatory should Aspirus produce additional documents containing potentially responsive information.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Please describe each instance in which a current or former Affiliated Provider of ANI has Communicated with You about entering into an Agreement with a Payer, including Communications relating to becoming part of a Provider Network other than ANI, including by providing (a) the name and contact information of such Affiliated Provider; (b) the date(s) of the Communication(s); (c) the name(s) of the Aspirus and/or ANI Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); (d) the name(s) of the Affiliated Provider Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); and (e) the outcome of such Communications, including whether such Affiliated Provider was granted permission or consent to enter into such an Agreement.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Aspirus incorporates by reference herein the foregoing General Objections, Objections to Definitions, Objections to Instructions, and Objections to the Relevant Time Period. Aspirus specifically objects to this Interrogatory Number 7 on the grounds that the terms "each instance," "outcome," "granted," "permission," and "consent" are vague and, ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Interrogatory. Aspirus further

objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to seek information relating to “each [and every] instance” in which an Aspirus provider has communicated with Aspirus about entering into an agreement with a payor over an undefined time period when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than each and every such “instance.” Aspirus also objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source, such as Aspirus’s ongoing document productions, that will be or is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such a narrative from Aspirus. Aspirus further objects to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent it is requesting that Aspirus state any contention regarding any claims or defenses asserted in this Action. Aspirus also objects to the extent this Interrogatory contains subparts that are more appropriately considered separate interrogatories under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

Without waiving and subject to the foregoing general and specific objections, Aspirus refers Plaintiffs to ANI’s response to Interrogatory No. 1 directed to ANI. As noted in response to Interrogatory Nos. 1 and 2, ANI is primarily responsible for negotiating agreements with payors related to the health care services Aspirus’s providers offer in Wisconsin. In response to Interrogatory No. 1 directed to ANI, ANI cites examples of communications with ANI affiliated providers concerning payor contracting. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d).

For the avoidance of doubt, Aspirus will continue to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d)(1) by supplementing this response at the appropriate time to “specify[] [any

additional] records that must be reviewed” to satisfy this Interrogatory should Aspirus produce additional documents containing potentially responsive information.

Dated: January 19, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Zachary M. Johns

Zachary M. Johns (*pro hac vice*)

R. Brendan Fee (*pro hac vice*)

Vincent C. Papa (*pro hac vice*)

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

2222 Market Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921

T: 215-963-5000

F: 215-963-5001

brendan.fee@morganlewis.com

zachary.johns@morganlewis.com

vincent.papa@morganlewis.com

Kenneth M. Kliebard (*pro hac vice*)

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

110 North Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606-1511

T: 312-324-1000

F: 312-324-1001

kenneth.kliebard@morganlewis.com

Ryan Kantor (*pro hac vice*)

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20004-2541

T: 202-739-3000

F: 202-739-3001

ryan.kantor@morganlewis.com

Daniel Conley

Nathan Oesch

QUARLES & BRADY LLP

411 East Wisconsin Avenue

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202

(414) 277-5000

daniel.conley@quarles.com

nathan.oesch@quarles.com

Matthew Splitek

QUARLES & BRADY LLP

33 East Main Street, Suite 900

Madison, Wisconsin 53703

(608) 251-5000
matthew.splitek@quarles.com

*Attorneys for Defendants
Aspirus, Inc. and Aspirus Network, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 19, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail upon counsel for Plaintiffs Team Schierl Companies and Heartland Farms, Inc.

Dated: January 19, 2024

/s/ Zachary M. Johns
Zachary M. Johns

EXHIBIT “A”

Aspirus Interrogatory No. 1
Bates Number
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012786
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012808
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0070332
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012277
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0083902
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014163
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014165
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022855
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022862
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014175
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014180
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022871
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022891
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022897
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022919
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0064194
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022928
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022939
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014235
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014274
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014278
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014289
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023196
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014530
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014571
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023323
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023336
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014694
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014701
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014711
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0014994

Aspirus Interrogatory No. 2
Bates Number
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009731
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009732
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001602
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001603
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001604
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001605
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009733
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001607
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001608
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001609
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001610
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009734
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001611
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001612
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009735
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009736
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001613
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001614
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001615
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001616
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001617
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001618
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001619
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009737
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009738
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001620
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001621
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001622
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009745
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001632
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0077409
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0077410
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0047400
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0077439
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001639
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0077452
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009769
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001656
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0047469
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001687
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0047470
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009867
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001693
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001694
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001703
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001742
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001762

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009923
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009926
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009942
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001777
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001781
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001782
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009981
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009982
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001794
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001797
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009984
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010068
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001830
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001831
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010114
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001833
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001834
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010115
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010116
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001835
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001836
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010117
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001837
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001838
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001839
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010118
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010119
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001840
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001841
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001842
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001843
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010120
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010121
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010124
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001847
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010125
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0078508
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0078564
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001868
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001869
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0078680
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0078741
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0078920
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001902
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079008
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079009
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010218
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010225
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010226

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079011
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079012
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010230
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010231
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079064
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079065
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079067
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079068
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010236
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079089
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079090
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001917
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001918
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001919
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001929
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001930
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079313
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079314
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079316
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079317
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010272
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010273
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010285
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001978
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001988
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001990
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002003
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010307
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010309
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010310
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010311
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010312
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010314
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010339
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002032
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002035
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002048
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002049
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002051
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002052
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079881
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0079882
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002063
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002064
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002065
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010419
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010420
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0080193
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0080194

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002069
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010437
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002074
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010457
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002075
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010458
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002076
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002077
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0080405
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002088
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010525
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0080673
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0080674
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002124
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002155
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010638
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002209
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002211
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002213
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002215
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002216
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002217
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002218
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002219
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002226
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010672
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010677
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010682
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002243
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002244
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010691
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010692
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002251
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010711
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002252
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010798
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002307
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002308
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010844
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002328
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002392
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002393
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002401
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002402
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002404
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002406
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002407
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002408
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002411

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002413
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000997
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001005
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001006
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009061
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001007
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009062
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001008
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001016
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0075617
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0075618
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001023
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001024
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009083
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009084
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009085
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009086
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009087
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009089
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001027
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001028
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001029
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001031
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001032
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001033
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001034
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001037
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001044
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009099
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009147
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001061
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009150
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001063
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001064
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001065
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001066
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001067
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001068
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001069
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001073
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009184
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009185
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001146
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0017697
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001210
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001211
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009254
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009255
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009256

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009257
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009258
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001212
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009319
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001277
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001278
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001279
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001280
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001281
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009331
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009332
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001291
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001292
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001293
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001294
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001297
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009378
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009379
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009380
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009381
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001315
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001321
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009385
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009408
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001352
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009425
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009426
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001358
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009427
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001359
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009428
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009429
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009430
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001360
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001372
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009438
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009439
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009440
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009441
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001373
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001374
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001375
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001376
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001377
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001378
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001379
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0076615
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0076616
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001420

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001422
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001423
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001424
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001425
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001426
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001427
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001428
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001429
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001430
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009507
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001473
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001489
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001490
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009604
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001496
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001499
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001501
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001503
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001504
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009616
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009617
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009618
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001505
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001506
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001507
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009619
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009620
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001512
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001514
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009625
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001515
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001517
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001518
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001525
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001533
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001535
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004058
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012574
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012575
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004083
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004084
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004088
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004174
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004191
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004253
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004255
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004257
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004264
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004271

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004272
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004276
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004280
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004281
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004282
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004283
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004291
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004292
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004300
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004302
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004303
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004305
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004308
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004310
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004311
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004313
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012847
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004337
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004344
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004350
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004353
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004355
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004371
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004372
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004374
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004376
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004378
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004379
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012866
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004385
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004388
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004397
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004411
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004520
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004521
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012956
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004570
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004571
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004572
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004574
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004587
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012984
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004588
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004603
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013060
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004666
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004671
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004681
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004692

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004704
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004705
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004706
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004707
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004708
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013150
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0085952
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004765
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004775
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013200
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013204
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013208
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000196
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000203
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000295
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000400
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000402
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007867
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000618
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000899
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002799
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011317
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002814
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002816
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002820
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002821
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002822
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002823
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002824
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002825
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002826
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002827
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002828
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002829
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002830
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002831
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002832
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011348
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011349
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002833
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002845
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002852
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002853
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002854
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0082538
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0082575
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0082612
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002893
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0082649

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002908
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011510
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011570
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011577
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002963
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011590
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011591
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011592
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011593
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011594
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011630
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011666
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011702
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011706
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011710
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011719
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011720
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011721
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011722
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003007
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003008
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003022
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003023
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003024
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003025
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003036
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011758
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011759
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003037
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003038
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011760
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003039
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003049
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003050
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003051
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003052
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003053
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003054
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003055
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003064
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003066
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003067
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003073
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003074
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003077
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003078
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003079
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003087
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003088

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003089
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011807
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0015949

Aspirus Interrogatory No. 4
Bates Number
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009837
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018436
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001810
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001858
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010215
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0037952
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010433
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0048301
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019461
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002689
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0041706
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0041712
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010619
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0038163
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0038185
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010804
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0081481
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0048721
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0048723
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002378
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0048830
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0048838
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011266
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011281
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0052660
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0021815
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0052681
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013562
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0041936
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071085
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022050
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0041986
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0064096
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013640
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0052843
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0052845
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0040783
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012357
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0040826
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012388
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0040841
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0040862
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003978
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0083658
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0041030
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051493
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012523

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012532
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012542
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0041089
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0036928
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0017653
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0046328
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001230
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001239
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001247
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0056248
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0017946
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018158
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0057124
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051885
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0039694
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011918
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0016207
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0044485
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000581
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0035838
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0035875
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0035877
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0044985
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0036135
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0045039
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0036212
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000877
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000880
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002936
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0039383
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0039439
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0039440
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0039443
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0039502
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0060144
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0039571
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010953
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0038555
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019975
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011080
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011083
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0038769
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0050245
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0020791
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0020795
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0040115
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012139
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0040167
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012156

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0021077
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0040227
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0040647
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051112
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0062701
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0069089
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0069094
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0069099
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0066093
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0066095
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004182

Aspirus Interrogatory No. 6
Bates Number
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004182
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001649
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001692
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0009871
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0001710
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0037514
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002665
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0058445
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0078494
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0037816
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010264
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0037854
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0037873
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0037874
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0037875
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0037878
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002058
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011190
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0038932
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002692
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002695
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002698
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0038937
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0038941
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002702
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0082261
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0082296
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0082357
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0082362
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0082368
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0082374
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0041618
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0041673
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0063737
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0086551
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013382
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0063796
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0081586
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0049476
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0082386
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011278
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0068359
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0068363
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002765
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011289
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011303
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011311

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0068449
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0052684
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0070920
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000123
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004933
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013627
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0052787
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0052816
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000156
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0066122
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0062474
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0083525
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012404
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0062541
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051435
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0083663
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051484
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0070788
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004820
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004072
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004096
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012599
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0041126
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012626
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012627
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012644
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0070013
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0083917
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0062869
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0062870
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0084189
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0084207
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0041185
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012737
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012756
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0062926
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051635
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0041200
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051641
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004284
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004289
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051645
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051655
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0070198
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004324
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0070309
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051770
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051784
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051799

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051835
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051839
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0041436
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0041440
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0012995
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013002
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013011
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051972
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0051993
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0052001
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004712
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004716
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004722
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0052012
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0052015
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004730
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004739
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013140
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013145
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0070677
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0013170
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0004785
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0000282
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0043608
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007378
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0043612
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007380
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007556
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0072764
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0072773
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0035095
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007912
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007918
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0036415
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0008851
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0060084
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0082736
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0049854
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011755
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0060153
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0059395
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0010963
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0059488
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011005
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0059586
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0049034
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0011069
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0002567
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0060711

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0083224
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0040554
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0066365
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0058538
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0062827
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0062829
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0062947
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0070319
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0058937
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0063345
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0060227
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0060231
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0049362
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0053177
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0053354
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0061603
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0053635
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0062019
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0063747
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0054962
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0054965
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0067951
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0055124
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0055278
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0055279
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0055335
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0055340
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0059902
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0059831
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0059842
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022779
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022797
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022799
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022810
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022815
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022822
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022833
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022834
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0022846
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023310
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023421
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023428
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023454
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023460
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023609
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023615
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023622
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023630
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023931

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023934
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0015050
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0023993
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0024013
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0024038
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0024711
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0024713
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0024730
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0024739
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0024744
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0024762
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0024764
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0024767
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0025594
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0025600
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0025606
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0025615
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0025625
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0025636
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0025650
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0025664
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0025686
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0025722
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0025726
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0026110
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0026119
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0026128
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0026143
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0026146
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0026157
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0026163
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0026201
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0026204
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0026209
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0026215
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071205
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071212
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0027460
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0027465
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0027977
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030736
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030747
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030767
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030768
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030770
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030772
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030775
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030779
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030783

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030788
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030795
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030800
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030807
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030815
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030823
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030850
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030851
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030854
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030861
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030869
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030883
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030931
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030934
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0030943
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0034496
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0034497

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN**

TEAM SCHIERL COMPANIES and
HEARTLAND FARMS, INC., on behalf of
themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ASPIRUS, INC. and ASPIRUS NETWORK,
INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:22-cv-00580-jdp

Hon. James D. Peterson, U.S.D.J.

Hon. Stephen L. Crocker, U.S.M.J.

**ASPIRUS NETWORK, INC.'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND
OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES**

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin ("Local Rules"), Defendant Aspirus Network, Inc. ("ANI") hereby provides this second set of supplemental responses and objections to Plaintiffs Team Schierl Companies and Heartland Farms, Inc.'s (together, "Plaintiffs") First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant ANI (the "Interrogatories") issued in the above-captioned litigation (the "Action"). ANI reserves its right under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e) to further supplement or amend these responses and objections should it discover additional information responsive to Plaintiffs' Interrogatories.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

ANI asserts the following General Objections, which are hereby incorporated into each of the Specific Objections to the Interrogatories set forth below:

1. ANI objects to these Interrogatories as unduly burdensome to the extent that they purport to require ANI to create a document or provide a compilation, abstract, audit, and/or other

document summary that does not currently exist or can be assembled by Plaintiffs with substantially the same effort as it would require of ANI.

2. ANI objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they seek information that (a) is protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, or (b) constitute trade secrets or confidential commercial information or contain information made confidential by law, including Protected Health Information (Protective Order ¶ 1.o., Dkt. No.41-1), or any information protected from disclosure by 21 C.F.R. § 20.63. ANI does not waive any applicable protections, privileges, rules, doctrines, or immunities, whether created by statute or common law, by providing objections and responses to these Interrogatories. Inadvertent or mistaken disclosure of any privileged information shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege or any other ground for objecting to discovery with respect to such information, nor shall inadvertent or mistaken disclosure waive the right of ANI to object to the use of any such information in any proceeding.

3. ANI objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they exceed or differ from the requirements of, or attempt to place burdens upon ANI greater than, those imposed or allowed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules, or any ruling by the Court now or in the future that would relieve ANI from any obligation to respond, in whole or in part, to any of these Interrogatories.

4. ANI objects to these Interrogatories on the grounds that they seek information that is not relevant to any claim or defense of any Party in the Action and/or is not proportional to the needs of the Action resulting in a burden and expense to ANI of complying with these Interrogatories that outweigh the likely benefit.

5. ANI objects to these Interrogatories pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(2)(C)(i) to the extent that they contain “unreasonably cumulative or duplicative” Interrogatories, in whole or in part.

6. ANI objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they are not set forth with sufficient particularity, are insufficiently defined, and/or are vague, ambiguous, and unlimited in scope. ANI will respond to the Interrogatories based upon its understanding of the Interrogatories and in a manner consistent with ANI’s obligations under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules.

7. ANI objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they seek information that is publicly available or obtainable from sources other than ANI that are more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.

8. ANI objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they require ANI to obtain and produce information from or concerning any entity other than ANI on the grounds that such Interrogatories are unduly burdensome and seek information not within ANI’s possession, custody, or control. ANI will only produce information that is in its possession, custody, or control.

9. ANI objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they seek to impose on ANI an obligation to identify information or documents that once existed and have subsequently been lost, destroyed, or are otherwise missing and to state the details concerning the loss, when such information or documents were deleted in accordance with ANI’s company practices, policies, and/or applicable law.

10. ANI objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they call for disclosure of confidential, proprietary, or highly sensitive information belonging to a third party but entrusted

to ANI on the conditions of confidentiality and non-disclosure, or joint confidential information of ANI and a third party.

11. ANI objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they seek ANI's confidential and proprietary information, including trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information or documents, the disclosure of which will or may cause competitive harm to ANI. To the extent ANI produces any information in response to these Interrogatories, it will do so subject to the terms of the Protective Order entered in this Action on June 9, 2023.

12. ANI objects to these Interrogatories as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action to the extent that they call for the identification of "all" information or documents or "any" piece of information or document when any relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than "all" or "any" piece of information or document. Read literally, such Interrogatories improperly call for the production of identical and duplicative information, which is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case.

13. ANI objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they assume disputed facts or contain legal conclusions in defining the information sought. ANI hereby denies any such disputed facts or legal conclusions. Any information provided by ANI in response to any Interrogatory is without prejudice to this objection and ANI does not, by responding to any Interrogatory, adopt or agree with any such disputed facts or legal conclusions.

14. ANI objects to these Interrogatories to the extent that they demand the production of responses within 30 days from the date of service of the Interrogatories. Subject to ANI's

General and Specific Objections, responses to these Interrogatories will be produced on mutually agreed date(s).

15. In light of continuing discovery and investigation, ANI reserves the right to amend and/or supplement its responses, and expressly reserves the right to later use and present at trial any evidence, facts, and/or testimony developed or analyzed at a later date that is responsive to the Interrogatories but not presently known to ANI. Notwithstanding the foregoing, ANI assumes no obligation to amend and/or supplement its responses beyond that which is required by law.

16. ANI objects to these Interrogatories without waiving or intending to waive, but on the contrary, preserving and intending to preserve: (a) the right to object, on the grounds of authenticity, improper foundation, competency, privilege, relevance, materiality, unfair prejudice, or any other proper ground, to the use of the information or documents produced in response to these Interrogatories for any purpose, in whole or in part, in any subsequent proceedings, in this Action, or in any other action; (b) the right to object on any ground, at any time, to one or more of these Interrogatories, or other third-party discovery involving or relating to the subject of the Interrogatories; and (c) the right at any time to revise, correct, add to, or clarify any of the responses and/or objections made herein.

II. OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS

1. ANI objects to the Definitions to these Interrogatories to the extent that they impose obligations exceeding those required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or any applicable Local Rules.

2. ANI objects to the definition of “Affiliated Provider” in Paragraph 1 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms “provider,” “employed,” and “affiliated” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this

Definition. ANI further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any” and all “provider[s]” “affiliated with ANI” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources. ANI also objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from ANI.

3. ANI objects to the definition of “Agent” in Paragraph 2 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, on the grounds that the phrase “authorized to act” is vague and ambiguous because it is undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition. ANI further objects to this Definition on the grounds that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, to the extent that it improperly purports to seek information from distinct corporate entities and persons not parties to the case and not controlled by ANI.

4. ANI objects to the definition of “Agreement” in Paragraph 3 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, on the grounds that the terms “arrangement,” “understanding,” “formal,” “informal,” “modifications,” and “amendments” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition. ANI further objects to the Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into

account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any” and all “oral or written contract[s], arrangement[s] or understanding[s]” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

5. ANI objects to the definition of “ANI” in Paragraph 5 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “divisions,” “departments,” “wholly owned or controlled subsidiaries or affiliates,” “other subsidiaries,” “parents,” “joint ventures,” “branches,” “predecessors in interest,” “successors in interest,” “current and former Employees, agents, attorneys, or representatives,” and “all other Persons acting on behalf of, or at the direction of, any such entity” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition. ANI further objects to this Definition on the grounds that the incorporation of any or all of these terms or phrases into this Definition renders each Interrogatory using “ANI” overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, as such terms and/or phrases call for information not relevant to the claim or defense of any party, are not relevant to the subject matter involved in this Action, and improperly purport to seek information from distinct corporate entities and persons not parties to the case and not controlled by ANI. Further, unless otherwise agreed to, ANI will not produce documents in the possession, custody, or control of ANI’s attorneys or ANI’s accountants, consultants, or other agents. ANI will construe “ANI” in these Interrogatories to refer to Aspirus Network, Inc.

6. ANI objects to the definition of “ANI Provider” in Paragraph 6 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms or phrases “provider,” “advanced practitioners,” and “employed” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition. ANI further objects to the Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any provider of Health Care Services . . . who is either employed by ANI or Aspirus or who is an Affiliated Provider of ANI” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

7. ANI objects to the definition of “Anti-Tiering Provision” in Paragraph 7 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “provision,” “discourages,” “deters,” “tiers,” “tiered,” “consumers,” “coverage,” “cost-sharing requirements,” “disincentivizes,” and “subscribers” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition to the extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions in defining the information sought.

8. ANI objects to the definition of “Aspirus” in Paragraph 8 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “owned,” “affiliated,” “allied,” “divisions,” “departments,” “wholly owned or controlled subsidiaries or affiliates,” “other subsidiaries,” “parents,” “joint ventures,” “branches,” “predecessors in interest,” “successors in interest,” “current and former

Employees, agents, attorneys, or representatives,” and “all other Persons acting on behalf of, or at the direction of, any such entity” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition on the grounds that the incorporation of any or all of these terms and/or phrases into this Definition renders each Interrogatory using “Aspirus” overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, as such terms and/or phrases call for information not relevant to the claim or defense of any party, are not relevant to the subject matter involved in this Action, and improperly purport to seek information from distinct corporate entities and persons not parties to the case and not controlled by ANI.

9. ANI objects to the definitions of “Capitated Rates” or “Capitation Payments” in Paragraph 9 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which these phrases appear, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “fixed amounts,” “unit of time,” “provide,” “arrange,” and “specific set” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from ANI.

10. ANI objects to the definition of “Certificate of Need” in Paragraph 10 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the term and phrase “establishment” and “major medical equipment acquisitions of facilities” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and

capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition on the grounds that it purports to define information that is not relevant to any claim or defense of any Party in the Action and/or is not proportional to the needs of the Action resulting in a burden and expense to ANI of complying with these Interrogatories that outweigh the likely benefit—not least of all because Wisconsin does not have a certificate of need law as that phrase is commonly used.

11. ANI objects to the definition of “Chargemaster Rates” in Paragraph 11 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “collection,” “standard list prices,” and “medical services” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from ANI.

12. ANI objects to the definition of “Communication” in Paragraph 12 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “communications of any kind” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

13. ANI objects to the definition of “Competitor” in Paragraph 13 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, on the grounds that the term and phrase “provider” and “operating in Wisconsin” are vague and

ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from ANI.

14. ANI objects to the definition of “Contracted Rates” in Paragraph 15 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “total amount,” “cost sharing,” “contractually agreed,” “participating provider,” “facility,” and “covered items and services” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from ANI.

15. ANI objects to the definition of “Data” in Paragraph 17 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “machine-readable computer files,” “supporting materials,” “[d]ata dictionaries,” “reference files,” “database maps,” “crosswalks,” “lookup tables,” and “information retrieval systems” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to the definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance

of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to encompass “any documentation” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

16. ANI objects to the definition of “Document” in Paragraph 18 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to encompass “all writings” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “all” such sources. ANI will construe the term “Document” to have the same meaning and scope to the usage of this term in Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and controlling case law.

17. ANI objects to the definition of “Employee” in Paragraph 20 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “officer,” “director,” “executive,” “manager,” “staff member,” “performing work,” “independent contractor,” and “other basis” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition on the grounds that the incorporation of any or all of these terms and/or phrases into this Definition renders each Interrogatory using “Employee” overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, as such terms and/or phrases call for information not relevant to the claim or defense of any party, are not relevant to the subject matter involved in this Action, and improperly purport to seek information from distinct corporate entities and persons not parties to the case and not controlled by ANI.

18. ANI objects to the definition of “Gag Clause” in Paragraph 21 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “contract term,” “Pricing term,” “requirement,” “negotiation tactic or condition,” “offer,” “employers,” and “prices” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition to the extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions in defining the information sought.

19. ANI objects to the definitions of “Health Care Services” and “Health Care” in Paragraph 22 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which these phrases appear, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “medical,” “clinical,” “laboratory,” “therapeutic services,” and “advanced practitioners” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories.

20. ANI objects to the definition of “Health Plan” in Paragraph 23 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “commercial,” “self-insured,” “health insurance products,” “fully insured,” and “self-funded health insurance markets” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI also objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from ANI.

21. ANI objects to the definition of “Independent Health Care Provider” in Paragraph 25 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the phrases “Health Care provider or group of providers,” “employed by,” “owned by,” and “affiliated in any way with” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any Health Care provider or group of providers” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than all such sources. ANI also objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks to include information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from ANI.

22. ANI objects to the definition of “Inpatient Services” in Paragraph 26 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “general acute care services,” “diagnostic and treatment services,” and “overnight stay” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories.

23. ANI objects to the definition of “Medical Facility” in Paragraph 27 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the phrases “any location or building” and “overnight stay” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition as

overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any location or building” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

24. ANI objects to the definition of “Narrow Network” in Paragraph 29 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “members,” “receive(s),” “laboratories,” and “insurance discounts” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition to the extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions in defining the information or documents sought.

25. ANI objects to the definition of “Non-Participating Rate” in Paragraph 33 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms “rate,” “in-network,” “participate,” and “product,” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “the rate charged by any Health Care Provider” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

26. ANI objects to the definition of “Outpatient Services” in Paragraph 34 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the phrases “medical services” and “overnight stay” are vague

and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories.

27. ANI objects to the definition of “Patient Invoices” in Paragraph 35 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “billing” and “payments” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories.

28. ANI objects to the definition of “Payer” in Paragraph 36 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the phrases “commercial insurance providers” and “self-insured entities” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any entity” and “any patient” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources. ANI also objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from ANI.

29. ANI objects to the definition of “Pricing” in Paragraph 38 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this term appears, on the grounds that the phrases “health claims,” “billing codes,” “claim codes,” “billing information,” and “claims information” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of

multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any contract or payment-related information” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources.

30. ANI objects to the definition of “Provider Network” in Paragraph 39 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms “providers” and “members” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI also objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from ANI.

31. ANI objects to the definition of “Reference-Based Pricing” in Paragraph 40 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the phrase “reference point” is vague and ambiguous because it is undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories.

32. ANI objects to the definition of “Referral Condition” in Paragraph 41 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to encompass “any portion of any Agreement”

related to referrals when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than all such sources. ANI also objects to this Definition as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks to include information obtainable from some other source that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from ANI.

33. ANI objects to the definition of “Steering Restriction” in Paragraph 44 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “incentivize,” “encourage,” “utilize” “restricts the ability,” “manner,” “availability,” “cost,” and “benefit” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any clause or provision,” “any way,” and “any insured,” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources. ANI further objects to this Definition to the extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions in defining the information sought.

34. ANI objects to the definition of “Tiered Network” in Paragraph 46 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “providers,” “levels,” and “high value” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition to the

extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions in defining the information sought.

35. ANI objects to the definition of “Tying Condition” in Paragraph 47 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which this phrase appears, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “requirement,” “negotiation tactic,” “negotiation condition,” “offer,” “effect of requiring,” “provider,” “creates an incentive,” and “wants to include” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to include “any Agreement, contract term, Pricing term, requirement, negotiation tactic, negotiation condition, and/or offer” and “any Payer” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than “any” and all such sources. ANI further objects to this Definition to the extent that it assumes disputed facts or contains legal conclusions in defining the information sought.

36. ANI objects to the definitions of “You” or “Your” in Paragraph 48 of the “Definitions” to these Interrogatories, as well as every Interrogatory or Instruction in which these terms appear, on the grounds that the terms and phrases “predecessors, successors, subsidiaries,” “departments,” “divisions,” “affiliates,” “third-party recruiting, hiring, or headhunting firms,” “officers,” and “representatives” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Definition and in the Interrogatories. ANI further objects to this Definition on the grounds that the incorporation of any or all of these terms and/or phrases into this Definition renders each Interrogatory using “ANI” overbroad and unduly burdensome

and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, as such terms and/or phrases call for information not relevant to the claim or defense of any party, are not relevant to the subject matter involved in this Action, and improperly purport to seek information from distinct corporate entities and persons not parties to the case and not controlled by ANI. Further, unless otherwise agreed to, ANI will not produce documents in the possession, custody, or control of ANI's attorneys or ANI's accountants, consultants, or other agents. ANI will construe the terms "You" or "Your" in these Interrogatories to refer to Aspirus Network, Inc.

III. OBJECTIONS TO INSTRUCTIONS

1. ANI objects each of the "Instructions" to these Interrogatories to the extent that they seek to impose obligations on ANI different or greater than those imposed or authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Rules, Court order, applicable case law, and/or that are contrary to and inconsistent with the Protective Order and/or ESI Agreement and Protocol entered in this Action.

2. ANI objects to Paragraph 4 of the "Instructions" to these Interrogatories on the grounds that the terms "custody," "control," and "possession" are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Instruction. ANI further objects to this Instruction on the grounds that the incorporation of any or all of these terms and/or phrases into this Instruction renders it overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, as such terms call for information not relevant to the claim or defense of any party, are not relevant to the subject matter involved in this Action, and improperly purport to seek information from distinct corporate entities and persons not parties to the case and not controlled by ANI. Further, unless otherwise agreed to, ANI will not produce

documents in the possession, custody, or control of ANI's attorneys or ANI's accountants, consultants, or other agents.

IV. OBJECTION TO RELEVANT TIME PERIOD

1. ANI objects to the Relevant Time Period, which purports to include an approximate nine-year period, as overbroad, unduly burdensome, not relevant to the claims or defenses of any Party, and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the litigation. Absent any agreement among the Parties stating some different time period, ANI will not produce information or documents that pre-date the four-year statute of limitations governing Plaintiffs' Sherman Act claims. 15 U.S.C. § 15(b).

V. SPECIFIC RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Please describe each instance in which a current or former Affiliated Provider has Communicated with You about entering into an Agreement with a Payer, including Communications relating to becoming part of a Provider Network other than ANI, including by providing (a) the name and contact information of such Affiliated Provider; (b) the date(s) of the Communication(s); (c) the name(s) of the Aspirus and/or ANI Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); (d) the name(s) of the Affiliated Provider Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); and (e) the outcome of such Communications, including whether such Affiliated Provider was granted permission or consent to enter into such an Agreement.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

ANI incorporates by reference herein the foregoing General Objections, Objections to Definitions, Objections to Instructions, and Objections to the Relevant Time Period. ANI specifically objects to this Interrogatory Number 1 on the grounds that the terms "each instance," "outcome," "granted," "permission," and "consent" are vague and, ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Interrogatory. ANI further objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the

Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to seek information relating to “each [and every] instance” in which an ANI provider has communicated with ANI about entering into an agreement with a payor or joining another provider network when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than each and every such “instance.” ANI also objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source, such as ANI’s ongoing document productions, that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such a narrative from ANI. ANI further objects to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent it is requesting that ANI state any contention regarding any claims or defenses asserted in this Action. ANI also objects to the extent this Interrogatory contains subparts that are more appropriately considered separate interrogatories under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

Without waiving and subject to the foregoing general and specific objections, ANI responds that it intends to produce any responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody, or control in response to Plaintiffs’ First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to ANI (the “Requests”) that will satisfy this Interrogatory, to the extent such materials exist. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). ANI refers Plaintiffs to Ex. “A” to these responses and objections identifying documents that ANI has already produced that reflect communications with providers affiliated with ANI about payor contracting from which Plaintiffs can derive information that is likely responsive to this Interrogatory.

For the avoidance of doubt, ANI will continue to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d)(1) by supplementing this response at the appropriate time to “specify[] [any

additional] records that must be reviewed” to satisfy this Interrogatory should ANI produce additional documents containing potentially responsive information.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Please describe each instance in which You have Communicated about prices for Health Care Services (including about Reference-Based Pricing) with any Agent, Employee, or other representative of any Independent Health Care Provider, including (a) the name and contact information of such Independent Health Care Provider; (b) the date(s) of the Communication(s); (c) the name(s) of the Aspirus and/or ANI Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); (d) the name(s) of the Independent Health Care Provider’s Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in such Communication(s); and (e) the beginning bates number of any Document reflecting or relating to such Communication(s).

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

ANI incorporates by reference herein the foregoing General Objections, Objections to Definitions, Objections to Instructions, and Objections to the Relevant Time Period. ANI specifically objects to this Interrogatory Number 2 on the grounds that the terms and phrases “each instance,” “prices,” “other representative,” and “involved” are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Interrogatory. ANI further objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to seek information relating to “each instance” in which an ANI provider has “Communicated about prices for Health Care Services” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than each and every such “instance.” ANI also objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source, such as ANI’s ongoing document production, that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such information in narrative form from ANI. ANI further objects to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent it is requesting that ANI state any

contention regarding any claims or defenses asserted in this Action. ANI also objects to the extent this Interrogatory contains subparts that are more appropriately considered separate interrogatories under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

Without waiving and subject to the foregoing general and specific objections, ANI responds that it intends to produce any responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody, or control in response to Plaintiffs' Requests that will satisfy this Interrogatory, to the extent such materials exist. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). ANI has not yet identified any documents or information responsive to this Interrogatory.¹ However, ANI not yet completed its review and production of documents, and ANI intends to supplement this response at the appropriate time to "specify[] the records [produced by Aspirus] that must be reviewed" to satisfy this Interrogatory should ANI produce any documents containing potentially responsive information. *Id.*

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

For any Agreement between You and any Provider that contains any Referral Condition, please provide (a) the date when any such Agreement was first used by You; (b) your Employee(s) or Agent(s) involved in the drafting, negotiation, promulgation, or enforcement of any such Agreement; and (c) the date(s) of any Communication(s) between or among You, Aspirus, and any provider of Health Care Services regarding the interpretation, drafting, negotiation, promulgation, or enforcement of any such Referral Condition.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

ANI incorporates by reference herein the foregoing General Objections, Objections to Definitions, Objections to Instructions, and Objections to the Relevant Time Period. ANI specifically objects to this Interrogatory Number 3 on the grounds that the terms and phrases "first used," "drafting," "negotiation," "provider," "promulgation," "enforcement," and "interpretation"

¹ Pursuant to the purported definition of "Independent Health Care Provider" in the Definitions section of the Interrogatories, ANI construes this Interrogatory to seek information about communications with "any Health Care provider or group of providers that is not employed by, owned by, or affiliated in any way with ANI or Aspirus." ANI has on the other hand identified and produced documents reflecting information about prices for healthcare services charged by its in-network providers.

are vague and ambiguous because they are undefined and capable of multiple interpretations as used in this Interrogatory. ANI further objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to seek information relating to “any [and all] Agreement[s]” that contain any information relating to referrals when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than each and every such document. ANI also objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information obtainable from some other source, such as ANI’s ongoing document production, is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such information in narrative form from ANI. ANI further objects to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent it is requesting that ANI state any contention regarding any claims or defenses asserted in this Action. ANI also objects to the extent this Interrogatory contains subparts that are more appropriately considered separate interrogatories under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1).

Without waiving and subject to the foregoing general and specific objections, ANI responds that it intends to produce any responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody, or control in response to Plaintiffs’ Requests that will satisfy this Interrogatory, to the extent such materials exist. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). ANI refers Plaintiffs to Ex. “A” to these responses and objections identifying documents that ANI has already produced regarding referrals from which Plaintiffs can derive information that is likely responsive to this Interrogatory.

For the avoidance of doubt, ANI will continue to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d)(1) by supplementing this response at the appropriate time to “specify[] [any

additional] records that must be reviewed” to satisfy this Interrogatory should ANI produce additional documents containing potentially responsive information.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Please provide the names and contact information for all Affiliated Providers in the ANI Provider Network, by year.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

ANI incorporates by reference herein the foregoing General Objections, Objections to Definitions, Objections to Instructions, and Objections to the Relevant Time Period. ANI specifically objects to this Interrogatory Number 4 as overbroad and unduly burdensome and not proportional to the needs of the Action taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the Action, because it purports to seek “names and contact” information relating to “all Affiliated Providers in the ANI Provider Network” when all relevant facts can be obtained from fewer than each and every such document. ANI also objects to this Interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative, and duplicative to the extent that it seeks information that is publicly available or obtainable from some other source, such as ANI’s ongoing document production or ANI’s publicly available website that lists ANI providers, that is equally available to Plaintiffs and is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive than seeking such documents from ANI. ANI further to this Request as unduly burdensome to the extent that it purports to require ANI to create a document or provide a compilation, abstract, audit, and/or other document summary that does not currently exist or can be assembled by Plaintiffs with substantially the same effort as it would require of ANI. ANI further objects to this Interrogatory as premature to the extent it is requesting that ANI state any contention regarding any claims or defenses asserted in this Action.

Without waiving and subject to the foregoing general and specific objections, ANI responds that it intends to produce any responsive, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody, or control in response to Plaintiffs' Requests that will satisfy this Interrogatory, to the extent such materials exist. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). ANI refers Plaintiffs to Ex. "A" to these responses and objections identifying documents reflecting the names and contact information for ANI's affiliated providers from which Plaintiffs can derive information that is likely responsive to this Interrogatory.

For the avoidance of doubt, ANI will continue to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d)(1) by supplementing this response at the appropriate time to "specify[] [any additional] records that must be reviewed" to satisfy this Interrogatory should ANI produce additional documents containing potentially responsive information.

Dated: January 19, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Zachary M. Johns

Zachary M. Johns (*pro hac vice*)

R. Brendan Fee (*pro hac vice*)

Vincent C. Papa (*pro hac vice*)

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

2222 Market Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921

T: 215-963-5000

F: 215-963-5001

brendan.fee@morganlewis.com

zachary.johns@morganlewis.com

vincent.papa@morganlewis.com

Kenneth M. Kliebard (*pro hac vice*)

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

110 North Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606-1511

T: 312-324-1000

F: 312-324-1001

kenneth.kliebard@morganlewis.com

Ryan Kantor (*pro hac vice*)

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004-2541
T: 202-739-3000
F: 202-739-3001
ryan.kantor@morganlewis.com

Daniel Conley
Nathan Oesch
QUARLES & BRADY LLP
411 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202
(414) 277-5000
daniel.conley@quarles.com
nathan.oesch@quarles.com

Matthew Splitek
QUARLES & BRADY LLP
33 East Main Street, Suite 900
Madison, Wisconsin 53703
(608) 251-5000
matthew.splitek@quarles.com

*Attorneys for Defendants
Aspirus, Inc. and Aspirus Network, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 19, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail upon counsel for Plaintiffs Team Schierl Companies and Heartland Farms, Inc.

Dated: January 19, 2024

/s/ Zachary M. Johns
Zachary M. Johns

EXHIBIT “A”

ANI Interrogatory No. 1
Bates Number
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0047528
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018469
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018470
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018520
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018522
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018723
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018770
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018775
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018780
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018782
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018834
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018835
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018892
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018898
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018902
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018903
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018907
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018908
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018945
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018946
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0018979
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019017
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019018
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019073
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019074
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0020153
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019543
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019623
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019624
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019626
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019682
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019685
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019741
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0019742
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0046141
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0034828
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0035716
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0016490
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0016491
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0016547
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0016548
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0045888
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0068583
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0049733
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0049747
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0020387
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0049842

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0003020
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0068711
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0049952
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0077891
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0077893
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0015940
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0015944
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0015945
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0015982
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0015983
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0015989
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0015991

ANI Interrogatory No. 3
Bates Number
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0072315
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0054028
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074016
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074039
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074062
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074088
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074111
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074134
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074157
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074180
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074205
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074376
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074399
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074423
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074446
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074469
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0036173
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074492
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074516
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074539
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074562
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074584
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074607
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074630
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074653
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074678
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074701
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074724
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074747
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074770
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074793
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074816
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074839
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0074862
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0036421
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0039548
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0068472
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0068494
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0068516
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005172
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005202
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005240
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005285
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005321
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005356
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005391
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071846

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005426
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005461
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005496
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005532
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005567
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005603
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005638
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005673
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005708
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005743
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005778
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005813
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005847
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005882
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005917
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071869
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071892
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071915
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005952
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005987
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006022
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006057
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006093
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006128
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006163
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006198
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006233
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006271
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006306
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006342
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006377
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006412
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006447
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006482
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006517
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006552
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006587
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006622
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006657
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006692
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006727
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006762
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006797
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006832
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006868
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006898
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006934
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006950
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0006966

TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007001
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007036
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007071
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007106
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007142
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007177
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005089
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071227
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071250
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071273
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071296
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071319
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071342
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071365
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071388
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071411
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071433
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071456
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071480
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071503
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071526
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071538
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005105
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071561
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071584
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071607
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071630
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071653
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071676
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071701
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071724
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071747
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005121
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071770
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0005137
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071793
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0071816
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007213

ANI Interrogatory No. 4
Bates Number
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0081311
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0007786
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0072133
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0072511
TEAM-SCHIERL-ASPIRUS-0015383